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Executive Summary

This report includes an analysis of the LibSat survey responses, both quantitative and qualitative for the period August through December 2011 and a comparison with the results of fall 2009 and 2010 and overall averages for 2009-2011. It also includes some recommendations for future improvements. The LibSat survey for the fall 2011 was launched for a third consecutive time on the FAU Libraries website on August 29, 2011, which is later than when launched in 2009 and 2010 and extended into the first week of January (although we are only counting responses through December 31).

In 2011, there were more efforts made to market the survey, which included advertisements on the FAU Libraries home pages, FAU Today, and an email sent out to all FAU faculty, staff, and students. There were a total of 415 respondents, which was about a 19% increase from the fall of 2009, for all campus libraries including Boca, Davie, Fort Lauderdale, Harbor Branch, Jupiter, and Treasure Coast. About 81.3% of respondents were either undergraduate or graduate students. More faculty members participated in the survey in 2011 (a total of 42 or 10.3% of the total respondents), as compared to 10 in 2010 (only 3% of the total). Most of the respondents, about 84%, identified themselves as being from the Boca Campus, with 8% from Jupiter, and 8% from the other four campuses.

About 74.1% of the respondents indicated that they are using library services on campus, which is an increase of 6.1% from 2010, and more respondents indicated that their primary reason for using the library was “study alone” followed closely by “research” and that “convenient location” most impacts their satisfaction. Most respondents still believe that the Library is important and are satisfied with library services overall, but less were satisfied in 2011. More respondents seem more satisfied with “Accessing the Internet from the Library,” “Accessing an online database provided by the Library” and “Library Electronic Resources.” Respondents seem less satisfied with “Collections,” “Media Center,” and also “Library Electronic Resources” (although the percentage of satisfaction for “Library Electronic Resources” was 77.9%, which is fairly high). The levels of satisfaction for “Instructional Services” (34%) and “Library Programs and Special Events” (30.6%) were low, but there may be problems with these questions. Also, we will need to monitor the levels of satisfaction for “Interlibrary Loan,” since it dropped from 82.5% in 2009 to 57.8% in 2010 to 54.8% in 2011.

As far as facilities are concerned, respondents seem more satisfied with “Access to Library from a remote location...Via phone, online, etc.” “Accessibility (access within and into building)” “and “Hours of access and operation.” Respondents seem less satisfied with “Parking, “ “Restrooms,” and “Seating/Workspace.” Unfortunately, there did not seem to be any improvement in satisfaction with the area of “Seating/Workspace,” where some improvements were made based on the 2009 results. There also seemed to be a concern about “Facilities for security of personal belongings, “The Library building (e.g. cleanliness),” although the average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 74.2%, which is fairly high.

Satisfaction with library policies is not very high. Respondents seem more satisfied with “Lending policies,” followed by “Borrowing/Returning materials,” but there has been a steady decrease in level of satisfaction for these two areas from 2009 to 2011. Respondents seem less satisfied with “Access to restricted or limited-use facilities...,” “Policy enforcement,” “Fines/Fees(costs...).” Overall, respondents seemed less satisfied with library equipment, but there was some improvement as far as satisfaction with “Computer workstations,” and “Printers.”
There were a total of 935 individual comments from 415 respondents for six campus locations. However, since one comment can be identified with more than one category, there are a total of 2,359 categorized comments. There were a total of 1,037 positive comments for all campus locations. As far as the individual categories are concerned, it appears that respondents are most satisfied with the “Services”, since this category received the largest number, 19.4% (n=1,037), of all positive comments followed by “Facilities” with 15.1%, “Staff” at 11.2%, “Equipment” at 6.5%, Accessing Information and Services via the Library web site (ease of use) at 6.4%, and “Collections” at 6.1%.

There were a total of 1,206 negative comments for all campus locations. The areas for all campuses (but mostly for Boca) with the highest percentage of negative comments were related to “Facilities,” (which would include seating/study spaces, equipment, hours of operation, and bathrooms) with 25.7% (n=1,206), “Service” at 8.3%, and “Policies” at 6.9%.
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PART I: QUANTITATIVE DATA RESULTS

Introduction

This report includes a summary of the LibSat survey results for the period August through December 2011 and a comparison with the fall 2009 and 2010 survey results and overall averages for 2009-2011. Additionally, any responses to improvements based on the survey results for fall 2009 or 2010 will be noted.

LibSat was launched for a third consecutive year on the Florida Atlantic University (FAU) Boca Campus Library home page on August 29, 2011, and shortly thereafter, a link was included on the Jupiter, Treasure Coast, and Davie Campus Library home pages, and on the Harbor Branch catalog search page. There were more efforts this year to market the LibSat survey, with the assistance of Terri Berns and her staff, which included LibSat survey announcements in FAU Today and emails sent to all FAU faculty, students, and staff. The link to the LibSat survey was not hidden until the beginning of January, but we are counting only the responses received from August through the end of December 2011.

The total number of respondents for the regular and in-depth surveys for all campus libraries including Boca, Davie, Fort Lauderdale, Harbor Branch, Jupiter, and Port St. Lucie was 415 in 2011 and 336 in 2010, which is about a 19% increase. Most of the respondents, about 84%, identified themselves as being from the Boca Campus, with approximately 8% from Jupiter and the remaining 8% from the other four campuses. As far as the monthly breakdown for the total number of responses, the largest number was in November with 280, possibly as result of sending out email reminders to all FAU faculty, students, and staff, and the smallest number, 8, occurred in August, which does not compare to the fall 2009 or 2010 results.

Limitations of LibSat Survey Results

One definite limitation of the LibSat survey is the response rate, which is still quite low at about 1.5%, which is a .3% increase from fall 2010, if you are basing your response rate on the FAU community population of approximately 28,000 (although this number is probably higher).

Approximately 75.9% (315) of the 415 respondents selected the regular survey, which takes about 7 minutes to complete and 100 or about 24% of respondents selected the in-depth survey, which has a completion time of about 15 minutes. This is a slight increase of .5% from 2010 for the number of respondents selecting the in-depth survey. The question categories for the regular survey include “Overall” (questions about overall satisfaction with the Library) and “Context” (questions about the respondent, satisfaction with services, and usage). However, in addition to “Overall” and “Context,” the in-depth survey also includes question categories entitled “Services” (additional questions about services), “Staff,” “Facilities,” “Policies,” and “Equipment.” Since the response rate of the in-depth survey was about 24%, this is a definite limitation.
Results of Context Questions

The “Context” category includes questions about the respondent, and satisfaction with services and usage. The largest percentage of respondents, **59.1% (n=406)** identified themselves as being undergraduate students, which is more than the overall average for 2009-2011 of **52.6%**, and graduate students were second at 22.2%. There were more faculty members who participated in the survey, **10.3%**, which is better than the overall average for 2009-2011 at **9.1%**. Here is a chart showing the distribution of types of respondents.

I am…(select the best fit)
Most of the respondents in 2011, 74.10%, (n=367), indicated that they use library services on campus, which is 4.3% more than the overall average of 69.80%, with only 25.90% indicating that they use them remotely, as is evidenced by the chart below.

**I use Library services primarily…**

2011/08 - 2011/12 (367)

- On campus: 74.10% (4.3%)
- Remotely: 25.90% (-4.3%)

**Overall (1042)**

- On campus: 69.80%
- Remotely: 30.20%
“Study alone” was indicated as the primary reason for using the Library by 38.8% (n=363) of the respondents, which is only a slight difference of 1.6% from “research”. “Research” was the second most popular category indicated as the primary reason for using the Library by 37.2% of respondents. The third and fourth most popular reasons were “group study” at 10.7%, which could be due to improvements made in group study rooms and “interlibrary loan” at 5.5%. The overall average for 2009-2011 indicated that “research” was still the primary reason for using the Library by 43.6% (n=1026) of the respondents. Here is the complete distribution of responses for the following question:

The primary reason for using this Library… (select the best fit)
Survey respondents in 2011 indicated that the aspect of service that most impacted satisfaction was “convenient location” with the highest number of responses at 73.8% (n=321), followed by “accessible information” at 69.8%. However, “accessible information” at 75.1% was the aspect of service that most impacted satisfaction, as far as the overall average for 2009-2011, as the chart below indicates.

The aspects of service that most impact my satisfaction include ... (choose all that apply)
“Evenings” and “afternoons” still seem to be the most convenient time for respondents to use the Library, since the percentages were 62% and 61.3% (n=305) respectively. “Late night” was third with 49.2%, which is a 2.8% increase compared to the overall average of 46.4%. “Weekends” and “mornings” had the same percentage of responses at 46.6%. Here is a chart with the distribution of responses.

It is most convenient for me to use the services of the Library ... (choose all that apply)

![Bar chart showing the distribution of responses over different times of the day.](chart.png)

Within the “context” category there was a question about the method the respondents preferred when looking for information this past year. The largest number of respondents, 41.3% (n=75), indicated that they look for information “on their own, without assistance,” which is only a slight decrease of .6% from 2010. In 2009 the percentage was 55.60%, which is significantly higher. Less respondents indicated that they “access Library’s online systems from a location other than the library,” about 26.7%, which is a decrease from the overall average (29.10%) of 2.4%, which could be due to the increase in residential students. The number of respondents, 12.0%, who indicated that they “request assistance from a Library staff member,” was more than the overall average of 8.9% by 3.1%. Here is a chart showing the distribution of responses.
With respect to this Library, in the past year...When looking for information, the method I prefer is ... (select the best fit)

2011/08 - 2011/12 (75)

Access Library’s online systems from a location other than the library (e.g., home, residence, etc.) 26.70% (~2.4%)
Request assistance from a Library staff member 12.00% (3.1%)
Use a Library workstation (computer, internet, public access terminal, ...) 16.00% (2.4%)
Follow Library’s print materials and posted signs 2.70% (1.3%)
Use other sources 1.30% (0.8%)
On my own, without assistance 41.30% (~5.2%)

Overall (213)

Access Library’s online systems from a location other than the library (e.g., home, residence, etc.) 29.10%
Follow Library’s print materials and posted signs 1.40%
Request assistance from a Library staff member 0.50%
Use a Library workstation (computer, internet, public access terminal, ...) 13.60%
On my own, without assistance 46.50%
Use other sources 0.50%
Results of Overall Questions

Respondents of questions in the “Overall” satisfaction category were asked to indicate a level of agreement with various statements about the importance of the Library and overall satisfaction using a 7-point Likert scale by selecting one of the following: “strongly disagree,” “disagree,” “somewhat disagree,” “neither agree nor disagree,” somewhat agree,” “agree,” or “strongly agree.”

The first question states “This Library is very important to me,” and the majority of respondents, 86.5% (n=407), indicated some level of agreement and 8% indicated some level of disagreement. Question two states “I am very satisfied with the services of this Library,” 77.6% (n=406) of the respondents showed some degree of satisfaction, and about 13.5% indicated some degree of dissatisfaction. A third question states “The services of this Library consistently meet or exceed my expectations,” 75.1% (n=406) of respondents showed some degree of agreement, and about 14.8% indicated some level of disagreement with that statement. Lastly, question four states “The quality of Library services is very high,” about 75.8% (n=405) of respondents showed some degree of agreement, and 13.8% of the respondents showed some degree of disagreement with this statement. The table below provides a comparison of the 2011 responses with the overall average percentages of satisfaction for the years 2009-2011. For all questions, the overall average satisfaction percentages were higher than for 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LibSat Survey Question</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>Average for 2009-2011</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This Library is very important to me.</td>
<td>86.5%</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am very satisfied with the services of this Library.</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
<td>79.3%</td>
<td>-1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The services of this Library consistently meet or exceed my expectations.</td>
<td>75.1%</td>
<td>75.4%</td>
<td>-.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The quality of Library services is very high.</td>
<td>75.8%</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
<td>-1.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results of Services Questions

Respondents of these questions were asked to indicate a level of satisfaction and importance with specific services (e.g. Library Catalog) using 7-point Likert scales from “very dissatisfied” to “very satisfied” with a neutral point in the middle (“neither dissatisfied nor satisfied”) and “very unimportant” to “very important” with a neutral point in the middle (“neither important nor “unimportant”).

It appears that in 2011 respondents seemed most satisfied with “Accessing the Internet from the Library” with 90.7% indicating some level of satisfaction, followed by “Accessing an online database provided by the Library” at 87.5% and “Library Electronic Resources” at 77.9%.

Respondents seem to be least satisfied with “Collections” with 14.1% of the respondents indicating some level of dissatisfaction, followed by the “Media Center” at 11.4% and “Electronic Resources” at 10.3% (although the percentage of satisfaction for “Electronic Resources” was 77.9%, which is fairly high.

The levels of satisfaction for “Instructional Services” (34%) and “Library Programs and Special Events” (30.6%) were low, but there may be problems with these questions. Also, we will need to monitor the levels of satisfaction for “Interlibrary Loan,” since it dropped from 82.5% in 2009 to 57.8% in 2010 to 54.8% in 2011. Here are the complete details.

The question reads “Please indicate your level of satisfaction with and the importance of the following services provided by this Library ...”

- **Attending instructional sessions** - 75% (n=52) of respondents indicated some level of satisfaction, which is a decrease from 2009 and 2010, with 23.1% indicating “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” so there might be some confusion about the question. 60.8% (n=51) indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was 80.2%.
- **Collections** – 56.2% (n=64) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is a decrease from 2009 and 2010. 14.1% of the respondents indicated some level of dissatisfaction, and 29.7% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied.” 70.5% (n=61) of respondents indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was 60.5%.
- **Library Catalog** – 67.3% (n=64) indicated some level of satisfaction and 89.3% (n=178) for “Accessing the online catalogue.” 26.6% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” and 80.3% indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was 75%.
- **Instructional Services** – Only 34% (n=59) indicated some level of satisfaction, with 59.3% indicating “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” so this question could be a problem, since it is similar to “Attending Instructional Sessions.” In addition, only 44.6% (n=56) indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was 39.4%.
- **Reference Desk** – 55.8% (n=61) showed some level of satisfaction, which is a 1.3% increase from 2010. 36.1% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” and 60.5% (n=58) indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was 61.8%.
- **Circulation Desk** – 59.1% (n=61) showed some level of satisfaction, which is a 12% decrease from 2010. 32.8% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” and 70.7% (n=58) indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 71.2%.
• **Interlibrary Loan** – 54.8% (n=64) indicated some level of satisfaction, yet only 6.3% indicated some level of dissatisfaction. There was a significant decrease of 27.4% in level of satisfaction from 2009 to 2010 and a small decrease of 3% from 2010 to 2011, so we will need to monitor this category. 39.1% of respondents indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied, and 70% (n=60) indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 65%.

• **Library Electronic Resources** (e.g., databases, electronic journals, electronic books) – 77.9% (n=68) showed some level of satisfaction, but 10.3% of the respondents indicated some level of dissatisfaction. 90.5% (n=63) indicated some level of importance, which means that this area is important to respondents. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 81.7%.

• **Use of EZproxy...** - 72.8% (n=66) of respondents showed some level of satisfaction, with 24.2% indicating “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied.” 72.6% (n=62) indicated some degree of importance, but there has been a steady decline in importance beginning with 96.7% in 2009 and 80% in 2010. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 77.1%.

• **Media Center** – 41% (n=61) indicated some level of satisfaction, but 11.4% of the respondents indicated some level of dissatisfaction. 47.5% were “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” which could mean that this service is not being used as much, and 59.6% (n=57) still indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 55.9%.

• **Library Programs and Special Events** – 30.6% (n=59) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is an increase of 4.4% from 2010, but 66.1% of the respondents indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” which could mean there is a problem with this question or respondents have never attended a library program or special event. Only 33.9% (n=56) indicated some level of importance and 55.4% indicated “neither important nor unimportant.” The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 47.5%. Since there was a significant drop in satisfaction from 2009 (85.5%) to 2010 (26.2%) and only a small increase for 2011, we will need to investigate monitor this category.

• **Accessing an online database provided by the Library** – 87.5% (n=212) of respondents indicated some level of satisfaction and 91.3% (n=208) indicated some level of importance, but since this category was not reported before, the average for 2009-2011 cannot be calculated.

In addition, the PC, Laptop, and Mobile Device Survey used the trigger questions “Accessed the Internet while at the Library,” with results as follows”

• **Accessing the Internet from the Library** – 90.7% (n=216) indicated some level of satisfaction and 92.5% (n=211) indicated some level of importance. Since this category was added for the “PC, Laptop, and Mobile Device Survey, the satisfaction average for 2009-2011 cannot be calculated.

### Results of Staff Questions

There were only two “yes” or “no” “Staff” questions. The first question reads “Did Library Staff meet, greet or initiate contact with you at any time?” 56.4% (n=78) of the respondents answered “no,” and 43.6% answered “yes.” The second question reads “I requested assistance of a Library staff member...” 54.5% (n=77) of respondents answered “no” and 45.5% responded “yes.” It still appears that students prefer to conduct research on their own.
Results of Facilities Questions

Respondents of these questions were asked to indicate a level of satisfaction and importance with specific facilities (e.g. Seating/Workspace) using 7-point Likert scales. It appears that most respondents are satisfied with “Access to Library from a remote location ... Via phone, online, etc.,” with 76.3% indicating some level of satisfaction. The next highest categories, as far as some level of satisfaction, were “Accessibility (access within and into building)” at 75.9%, and “Hours of access and operation” at 75.4%.

Respondents seem to be least satisfied with “Parking” at 47.6% indicating some level of dissatisfaction, followed by “Restrooms” at 31.2%. Unfortunately, there did not seem to be any improvement in satisfaction with the area of “Seating/Workspace,” where some improvements were made based on the 2009 results, since the percentage of dissatisfaction was 27.5%.

There also seemed to be a concern about Facilities for security of personal belongings, “since the percentage of dissatisfaction was 21.8% and “The Library building (e.g. cleanliness)” at 20.4%, although the average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 74.2%, which is fairly high. Here are the complete details.

The question reads “Please indicate your level of satisfaction with and the importance of the following facilities of this Library...”

- **Hours of access and operation** – 75.4% (n=65) indicated some level of satisfaction, but still 20% indicated some level of dissatisfaction. 96.7% (n=59) indicated some level of importance, so it continues to be an important issue. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 77.3%.
- **Accessibility (access within and into building)** – 75.9% (n=58) indicated some level of satisfaction, with 13.7% indicating some degree of dissatisfaction, and 79.3% (n=53) indicating some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 76.3%.
- **Seating/Workspace** – 61.2% (n=62) indicated some level of satisfaction, compared to 64.3%, so there is a slight decrease. 27.5% indicated some level of dissatisfaction, and 87.6% (n=56) indicated some level of importance, so it appears this issue is still a concern. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 63.9%.
- **Restrooms** – 54% (n=61) indicated some level of satisfaction, with 31.2% still indicating some level of dissatisfaction. 91.4% (n=58) indicated some level of importance, so this continues to be an important issue. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 65.8%.
- **Facilities for personal safety** – Only 48.3% (n=56) indicated some level of satisfaction; however, 50% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” so this could mean there is a problem with the question. 63.2% (n=49) indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 45.3%.
- **Facilities for security of personal belongings** - Only 27.2% (n=55) indicated some level of satisfaction, with 21.8% indicating some level of dissatisfaction. However, 50.9% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” so this could mean there continues to be a problem with the question. Additionally, only 58% (n=50) indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 32.6%.
- **Facilities for security of Library materials and property** – 43.7% (n=55) indicated some level of satisfaction, with only 5.5% indicating some level of dissatisfaction, and 47.3% indicating “neither
dissatisfied nor satisfied.” Again, there seems to be a problem with this question. 57.1% (n=49) indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 43.1%.

- **The Library building (e.g. cleanliness)** – 74.6% (n=59) indicated some level of satisfaction, compared to 72.7% in 2009, which shows a slight increase in satisfaction, with 20.4% still indicating some level of dissatisfaction. 96.3% (n=54) indicated some level of importance, so this continues to be an important issue. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 74.2%.

- **Parking** – Only 32.9% (n=61) indicated some level of satisfaction, compared to 41.9% in 2010, so there is decrease in satisfaction, with 47.6% indicating some level of dissatisfaction, which means that “parking” is still an issue. 76.9% (n=41) indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 33.4%, which is low.

- **Access to Library from a remote location ... Via phone, online, etc.** – 76.3% (n=59) indicated some level of satisfaction, with 6.8% indicating some level of dissatisfaction, and 16.9% indicating “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied.” 83% (n=53) indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 74%.

- **Group Study Rooms** – 46.7% (n=60) indicated some level of satisfaction, so this is an increase from 2010 at 34.1%, but 18.3% are still indicating some level of dissatisfaction. 35% of respondents indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” and 62.3% indicating some level of importance.

**Results of Policies Questions**

Respondents of these questions were asked to indicate a level of satisfaction and importance with specific policies (e.g. Lending policies) using 7-point Likert scales. It appears that respondents are most satisfied with “Lending policies,” with 70.3% indicating some level of satisfaction, followed by “Borrowing/Returning materials” at 66.3%. In 2009 and 2010, respondents also seemed most satisfied with these two areas, but there has been a steady decrease in level of satisfaction from 2009 to 2011.

Respondents seem least satisfied with “Access to restricted or limited-use facilities...,” where the satisfaction level was 35.2%. However, 56.3% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied, which could mean that there is problem with this question. Respondents also seemed to be less satisfied with “Policy enforcement” with 36.5% indicating some level of satisfaction, followed by “Fines/Fees (costs...)” at 41.2%. Here are the complete details.

The question reads “Please indicate your level of satisfaction with and the importance of the following policies and procedures of this Library...”

- **Lending policies** – 70.3% (n=74) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is a decrease of 5.1% from 2010, with 20.3% indicating “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied” and 76.8% (n=69) indicating some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 77.1%

- **Fines/Fees(costs/rules, collections/payments, dispute resolution)** – 41.2% (n=73) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is a decrease of 7.1% for 2010, with 26% indicating some degree of dissatisfaction, and 32.9% indicating “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied.” 58.8% (n=68) indicated some level of importance, which is almost the same as 2009. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 43.3%.
- **Borrowing/Returning materials** – 66.3% (n=74) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is a decrease from 73.3% in 2010. 25.7% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” and 75% (n=68) indicated some level of importance. The average satisfaction percentage for the years 2009-2011 was about 72.2%.
- **Hold/Renewals** – 53.5% (n=71) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is a decrease from 59.3% in 2010, with 36.6% indicating “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” and 57.9% (n=69) indicating some level of importance.
- **Interlibrary Loans** – 54.1% (n=72) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is a decrease from 56.9% in 2010, with 11.1% indicating some level of dissatisfaction. 34.7% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied, “and 58.8% (n=68) indicated some level of importance, which is a decrease from 72.4% in 2010.
- **Access to restricted or limited-use facilities, equipment, information or other services** – Only 35.2% (n=71) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is an increase of 6.1% from 2010, with 8.4% indicating some level of dissatisfaction. 56.3% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied, which could mean that there is problem with this question. Additionally, only 37.9% (n=66) indicated there was some degree of importance.
- **Policy enforcement** – Only 36.5% (n=71) indicated some level of satisfaction, as compared to 30.9% in 2010, which is an increase, but 21% indicating some level of dissatisfaction. 42.3% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” which could still indicate a problem with this question. Lastly, 61.2% (n=67) indicated some level of importance, so it is an important issue.

**Results of Equipment Questions**

Respondents of these questions were asked to indicate a level of satisfaction and importance with specific equipment (e.g. printers) using 7-point Likert scales. Overall, respondents did not seem very satisfied with library equipment, but they did seem most satisfied with “Computer workstations” with 60.3% indicating some level of satisfaction, which is still a significant decrease in satisfaction of 14.7% from 2009. Although, 20.6% still indicated some level of dissatisfaction. The next highest category was “Printers” at 57.7%, which is actually an increase in satisfaction of 18.2% from 2010, so it appears some improvements have helped.

Respondents appear to be less satisfied with “Audio, Video, Microform Equipment (Listening/Viewing Stations),” with only 37.5% of respondents indicating some level of satisfaction, followed by “Computers equipped with specialized software/database/hardware” at 42.1% and “Copiers” with 46.7%. Here are the complete details.

The question reads “Please indicate your level of satisfaction with and the importance of the following equipment at this Library...”

- **Printers** – 57.7% (n=59) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is a definite increase from 2010 of 18.2%, with only 6.8% indicated some level of dissatisfaction, which could be a result of improvements made based on 2009 and 2010 survey results. 35.6% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” and 70.9% (n=55) indicated some level of importance.
- **Copiers** – 46.7% (n=60) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is a decrease of 8.1% from 2010, with 13.3% indicating some degree of dissatisfaction. 40% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” and 69.6% (n=56) indicated some level of importance.
• **Computer workstations** – 60.3% (n=58) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is slightly less than 61.4% in 2010, with 20.6% indicating some level of dissatisfaction. 82.1% (n=56) indicated some level of importance, so it still remains an important issue.

• **Computers equipped with specialized software/database/hardware** – Only 42.1% (n=57) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is a 5.5% increase from 2010, with 7% indicating some level of dissatisfaction. 50.9% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” which could indicate a problem with the question or that respondents do not use this equipment. Only 59.5% (n=52) indicated some level of importance.

• **Audio, Video, Microform Equipment (Listening/Viewing Stations)** – Only 37.5% (n=56) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is an increase of 7.3% from 2010, with 7.2% indicating some level of dissatisfaction. 55.4% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied,” which could mean there is still a problem with this question. Only 50% (n=52) indicated some level of importance.

• **Express Checkout Equipment** – Only 29.8% (n=57) indicated some level of satisfaction, which is only a slight increase of 2.3% from 2010, with 10.6% indicating some level of dissatisfaction. However, 59.6% indicated “neither dissatisfied nor satisfied, which could mean that there is problem with this question or respondents are not using this equipment. Only 46.1% (n=52) indicated there was some degree of importance.

**Summary**

Most of the survey respondents indicated that they are from the Boca Campus and are undergraduates, but there was an increase in the number of faculty and staff respondents, so the sample appeared to be more representative of the FAU population. More respondents indicated that they are using Library services on campus, rather than remotely. More respondents indicated that their primary reason for using the Library is “study alone,” closely followed by “research” and that a “convenient location” most impacts their satisfaction. “Evenings” and “afternoons” are still the most convenient times for respondents to use the Library, but the third highest category was “late night.”

Most respondents still believe that the Library is important and are satisfied with library services overall. However, the number of respondents in 2011 indicating a degree of satisfaction decreased for both categories. Additionally, there were more respondents who indicated that they were dissatisfied with library services.

As far as library services, more respondents seem satisfied with the “Accessing the Internet from the Library,” followed by “Accessing an online database provided by the Library” and “Library Electronic Resources.” Also, more respondents indicated that these three categories are important. Respondents seem less satisfied with “Collections,” followed by the “Media Center” and there seemed also to be some dissatisfaction with “Library Electronic Resources” even though the percentage of respondents indicating a level of satisfaction and importance was fairly high. In addition, the levels of satisfaction for “Interlibrary Loan,” significantly decreased from 2009 to 2010, and there was still a slight decrease indicated by the survey responses for 2011.

In summarizing the responses for library facilities, respondents seem to be more satisfied with “Access to Library from a remote location … Via phone, online, etc.,” followed by “Accessibility (access within and into building),” “Hours of access and operation,” and “The Library building (e.g. cleanliness).” Respondents still seem to be less satisfied with “Parking,” “Restrooms” and “Seating/Workspace.” Overall, respondents still seem less satisfied with library equipment, but they do seem to be more satisfied with “Printers,” and “Computer Workstations” and less satisfied with “Copiers” and “Audio, Video, Microform Equipment.”
Satisfaction with library policies was still not very high. Respondents are more satisfied with “Lending policies, “followed by “Borrowing/Returning materials,” so there is not much change since 2010. Respondents still seem less satisfied with “Access to restricted or limited-use facilities...,” “Policy enforcement,” followed by “Fines/Fees(costs...).”

PART II: QUALITATIVE DATA RESULTS

Introduction

There were a total of 935 individual comments from 415 respondents for all campus locations for the period of August 29th through December 31st. However, since one comment can be identified with more than one category, there are a total of 2,359 categorized comments. Approximately 85.5% of the comments came from respondents from the Boca Campus, with 9.1% from Jupiter, 3.4% from Davie campus, and 2% from the other three campuses.

Summary of Positive Comments

There were a total of 1,037 positive comments for all campus locations. As far as the individual categories, it appears that respondents are most satisfied with the “Services”, since this category received the largest number, 19.4% (n=1,037), of all positive comments followed by “Facilities” with 15.1%, “Staff” at 11.2%, “Equipment” at 6.5 %, Accessing Information and Services via the Library web site (ease of use) at 6.4%, and “Collections” at 6.1%.

Summary of Negative Comments

There were a total of 1,206 negative comments for all campus locations. The areas for all campuses (but mostly for Boca) with the highest percentage of negative comments were related to “Facilities,” (which would include seating/study spaces, equipment, hours of operation, and bathrooms) with 25.7% (n=1,206), “Service” at 8.3%, and “Policies” at 6.9%.